



Dissenting Opinions

This Practice Direction is issued under s160(3) of the *Mental Health Act 2007* (MHA).

1. Purpose

- 1.1. This practice note applies where there is dissent on the part of at least one Member. This may occur where the Tribunal sits as a three Member panel and there is a dissenting opinion on a material matter relevant to the Tribunal's determination.
- 1.2. The Tribunal sits in three member and single member configurations. There are no two Member Tribunal panels. Where the Tribunal sits as a three Member panel the usual outcome is a consensus decision of all three Members. Consensus outcomes are preferred wherever possible.

2. Process where a dissenting opinion

- 2.1. The general position is that the three-member Tribunal should, through panel deliberations, use their best endeavours to reach an agreed decision of all three members.
- 2.2. Where the Tribunal panel cannot agree, the following process for making the Tribunal decision will apply:
 - 2.2.1. If the Tribunal is constituted by more than one member for the purposes of the determination of any proceedings and the members are divided in opinion, the opinion of the majority is taken to be the decision of the Tribunal.
 - 2.2.2. However, a question of law arising in proceedings in which the Tribunal is constituted by three members, one of whom is an Australian lawyer, is to be decided in accordance with the opinion of the member who is an Australian lawyer (the Legal Member or the Presidential Member).
 - 2.2.3. A question of law includes whether a particular question is a question of law.
 - 2.2.4. That there was a dissenting opinion is to be recorded as set out in paragraph 3 of this Practice Direction below.

3. The following procedures will apply to recording the dissenting opinion

- 3.1. The order form, recording the Tribunal's order and brief reasons of the majority decision, will contain a notation under the dissenting Member's name and/or signature, that the Member dissents.
- 3.2. The Member will provide a brief written statement of reasons for the dissent which will be retained as part of the decision record on the Tribunal file.



- 3.3. A document to record dissenting opinions is to be placed in the Tribunal hearing rooms but if not available a handwritten record made by the dissenting member, signed and dated with the title ‘Reasons for Decision for Dissenting Opinion’ will suffice. A digital copy of this will then be scanned and retained as part of the decision record. The Tribunal is currently developing new software/digital processes, and a digital record of the dissenting opinion will be developed.
- 3.4. If additional time is required for the preparation of the Reasons for Decision for the dissenting opinion, arrangements for additional time are to be made with the Tribunal Registrar, by the member in dissent who is preparing the written reasons.

Judge Carolyn Huntsman
President

Dated June 2026

*Formerly called “Practice Direction 1 of 2023”

Version		President	Date
1.	Practice Direction 1 of 2023 Dissenting Opinions	Judge Huntsman	March 2023
2.	Practice Direction: General No.2 – Dissenting Opinions Reviewed, renamed and reformatted	Judge Huntsman	March 2025
3.	Reviewed and updated the reflect change of title	Judge Huntsman	June 2026
4.	Next scheduled review		June 2027